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This article explains despite the efforts of companies like, Monsanto, genetic modified foods will not be able to solve world hunger. The article gives rebuttals for factors that the scientists believe as a solution. For example, the author claims that the terminator genes inside the plant are the same with normal pesticides. Despite the authors rebuttal may be good this source is not 100% reliable since it was written in 1999 which is a decade before.
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Genetic modified foods are detrimental to the environment according to Cummins Ronnie. In his article he explained how genetic modified foods create harm by creating, genetics poisoning, increased cancer risks, and so on. His article seems to be reliable base on the fact that he listed statistics of people dead and various organizations that created the list.
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Michael Fox’s article starts off with the history and the science behind genetically modified foods, as he continues he shows how genetic engineered foods are harmful to people. Despite Michael Fox is a vet who has a Ph D he did not mention any special statistics for his article.
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Unlike all the other articles mentioned above the author of this article tends to have a different opinion. He believes that GM crops can actually save world hunger. He shows statistics where GM foods were playing a big role in food production for the developing world. Currently this article is the most reliable since it was written in the year 2008 unlike other articles that were written at least 6 years earlier.
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Like the article beforehand the author of this article also believes that GM foods can actually help the world. Also Gregory Conko does admit that there were cases where several poisonings have occurred. However he rebuts the statement by saying that science has improved even more compared to then. I guess this article is quite reliable and accurate due to the fact that not only is the article quite recent but also the author is from Ag BioWorld Foundation an organization that con
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It was interesting how the author of the article mentioned that the huge increase in prices of corns has affected countries like Korea and Japan to rely on genetically modified crops. This article is reliable enough because not only I know the status quo for Korea but also the author mentions the name of Korean official and Japanese company owner.
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The article is interesting in a way that an international government like the UN shows interest towards genetic modified foods unlike Greenpeace, which is an environmental organization that goes against production of genetic engineered foods. This article is highly reliable due to the fact that the author, Andrew Pollack earned a bachelor’s degree from Princeton University in civil and environmental engineering. Thus he has thorough knowledge about genetic modified foods.